

REMARKS

The Examiner rejected claims 1 - 33 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting in view of claims 1 - 21 of U.S. Patent No. 6,885,567. Applicant encloses herewith an executed Terminal Disclaimer to obviate the double patenting rejection over prior U.S. Patent No. 6,885,567.

CONCLUSION

It is believed that all of the stated grounds of rejection have been properly traversed, accommodated, or rendered moot. Applicant therefore respectfully requests that the Examiner reconsider and withdraw all presently outstanding rejections. It is believed that a full and complete response has been made to the outstanding Office Action and the present application is in condition for allowance. Thus, prompt and favorable consideration of this amendment is respectfully requested. If the Examiner believes that personal communication will expedite prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at (248) 641-1600.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: Sept 5, 2006

By: 

Joseph M. Lafata, Reg. No. 37,166

HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.
P.O. Box 828
Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48303
(248) 641-1600

JML/elh